

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,217	12/17/2004	Shigeru Suzuki	57965US006	7313
32692	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNO	VATIVE PROPERTIES	NGUYEN, CHAU N		
PO BOX 33	OX 33427			
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 00/21/200	<i>c</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/519,217	SUZUKI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chau N. Nguyen	2831			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication		th the correspondence address			
Period fo	, ,					
WHIC - Extensions after Significant Signif	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the did patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of the state of the	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
2a)□						
′=	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.	•				
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)⊠ Т	he drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2004</u>	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·				
11)∐ Т	he oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[∑	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received in A	pplication No			
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
		·				
<b>A</b> 441						
Attachment(	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	. A) 🗖 Intention 9	summary (PTO-413)			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
. —	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/7/05</u> .	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. (WO 95/11542) in view of Dewdney (2002/0014349).

Nakamura et al. discloses a cold shrink tube unit comprising an elastic tube member (15) with an opening end and a hollow cylindrical core member (Figures 3-4) removably provided inside a seal region of said elastic tube member, having a predetermined length from said opening end, to hold said seal region in an elastically expanded state, wherein said core member being a slidingly detachable core member comprising a body section (31a) defining a hollow cylinder and a sliding section (31b) integrally connected with one axial end of said body section, said sliding section having flexibility permitting it to be turned over and laid on an outer circumferential surface of said body section, the sliding section being formed

Art Unit: 2831

adjacent to the body section, and said slidingly detachable core member being provided inside said seal region (re claims 1 and 8).

Nakamura et al. does not disclose said body section (or the core member) includes a plurality of plate-like portions capable of being combined with each other to form said hollow cylinder. Dewdney discloses a core member (Figure 8) comprising a plurality of plate-like portions capable of being combined with each other to form a hollow cylinder, a joint portion (38) pivotably connecting the plate-like portions with each other to form the hollow cylinder (re claim 3), and the joint portion being structured to deform under external force to allow the adjoining plate-like portions to be pivoted (re claim 4). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the core member of Nakamura et al. to have a plurality of adjoining plate-like portions as taught by Dewdney to avoid the need to unthread the core member from the free end of cable.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Osmani et al. (6,472,600).

Nakamura et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the core member comprising a plurality of plate-like portions which comprise mutually independent parts, which are provided with engagable end faces capable Application/Control Number: 10/519,217

Art Unit: 2831

of being engage with each other in a form of said hollow cylinder, and which include reinforcing portions formed in peripheral end regions, including said engagable end faces, of said plate-like portions for holding said plate-like portions in a form of said hollow cylinder against an external force, and said reinforcing portions being formed in said engagable end faces, adapted to be engaged with each other, of said mutually adjoining plate-like portions, and respectively including concave and convex configurations detachably fitted with each other.

Osmani et al. discloses a core member (Figures 14 and 18) comprising a plurality of plate-like portions which comprise mutually independent parts, which are provided with engagable end faces capable of being engage with each other in a form of said hollow cylinder, and which include reinforcing portions formed in peripheral end regions, including said engagable end faces, of said plate-like portions for holding said plate-like portions in a form of said hollow cylinder against an external force, and said reinforcing portions being formed in said engagable end faces, adapted to be engaged with each other, of said mutually adjoining plate-like portions, and respectively including concave and convex configurations detachably fitted with each other. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the core member of Nakamura et al. to have a plurality of adjoining plate-like portions as taught by Osmani et al. such that the

Art Unit: 2831

core member can be removed from cable without pulling the core member through the free end of the cable.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,217

Art Unit: 2831

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau N Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2831